		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiate	d Interview Summary	09/775,104	WINTERTON ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Erma Cameron	1762
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) Erma Cameron.		(3)	
(2) <u>Jian Zhou</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 February 2004</u> Time:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No			
If Yes, provide a brief do	escription: .		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
	:		
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
:			
	-		
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In an election of species of the identity of the negatively charged material and the identity of the positively charged material, and method of preconditioning, the applicant elected polyacrylic as the polyanionic material, poly(ethyleneimine) as the polycationic material, and preconditioning with a primer. On February 23, the applicant agreed to cancel the nonelected claims. This will be done by examiner's amendment..